

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/786,519 02/25/2004		Yasushige Tomiyoshi	82478-5200	2767	
21611	7590 04/07/2006	EXAMINER			
SNELL & WILMER LLP 600 ANTON BOULEVARD			SANTIAGO, MARICELI		
SUITE 1400	- -		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
COSTA MES	SA, CA 92626	2879			
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					~		
		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)			
			519	TOMIYOSHI ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examin	er	Art Unit			
			Santiago	2879			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica or Reply	tion appears on ti	he cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addi	ess		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum status or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF T F7 CFR 1.136(a). In no ecation. Dry period will apply and by statute, cause the al	THIS COMMUNIC event, however, may a rep will expire SIX (6) MONT polication to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this com NNDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					•		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>30 December</u>	2005.				
)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒ This action is non-final.						
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice	under <i>Ex parte</i> C	<i>uayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the app	lication.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) 1,5,11 and 12 is/are rejected.						
7)🖂	Claim(s) 3.4 and 6-10 is/are objected to	о.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election	requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer		·			
	The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 February 200</u>		ccepted or b) o	biected to by the Examine	r		
,	Applicant may not request that any objection				••		
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the		•		. 1.121(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	foreign priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have be	en received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have be	en received in Ap	plication No			
	3. \square Copies of the certified copies of t	he priority docum	nents have been r	eceived in this National St	age		
	application from the International	•	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the cer	tified copies not re	eceived.			
Attachmen	i(s)						
1) 🛚 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)			
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-		Paper No(s)	/Mail Date	E0)		
3) 🔲 Inforr Pape	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC r No(s)/Mail Date	J/SB/08)	6) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-1 -·	52)		

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The Amendment, filed on December 30, 2006, has been entered and acknowledged by the Examiner.

Claims 1-12 are pending in the instant application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 5, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yasuda et al. (US 5,629,586) in view of Haraden et al. (5,296,780).

Regarding claims 1 and 11, Yasuda discloses a compact self-ballasted fluorescent lamp, comprising an arc tube including a glass tube at least partially bent, and electrodes sealed at both ends of the glass tube, each electrode including a filament coil, and a holder having a pair of insertion openings formed therein, and holding the arc tube by fixing the ends of the glass tube inserted through the insertion openings, wherein the ends of the glass tube are inserted to such positions that enable each filament coil to be positioned within the holder, and having a distance L1, in an insertion direction of the ends of the glass tube, between each filament coil and an edge of corresponding one of the insertion openings.

Yasuda fails to disclose the limitation of the distance L1 having a minimum range in a range of 0 to 10 mm inclusive. However, in the same field of endeavor, Haraden discloses a fluorescent lamp comprising a holder having an insertion opening formed therein, and holding

Art Unit: 2879

the arc tube by fixing the ends of the glass tube inserted through the insertion openings, wherein the ends of the glass tube are inserted to such positions that enable each filament coil to be positioned within the holder, and a minimum distance L1, in an insertion direction of the ends of the glass tube, between each filament coil and an edge of corresponding one of the insertion openings is in a range of 0 to 10 mm inclusive. The disclosed arrangement provides support to the lamp envelope in the event that the envelope cracks in the area immediately adjacent the electrode filament ends. Thus, it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skills in the art to incorporate the minimum distance disclosed by Haraden in the fluorescent lamp of Yasuda in order to provide support to the lamp envelope in the event that the envelope cracks in the area immediately adjacent the electrode filament ends.

In regards to the recitation "operatively retain a larger amount of heat emitted from the filament coil to elevate the temperature of an inner surface of the glass tube within the holder when compared to the inner surface of the glass tube adjacent and exterior of the holder wherein a reduction in the mercury over a life of the fluorescent lamp is reduced and raising characteristics of a start time is decreased" as specified in claim 11, the combination Yasuda-Haraden disclose the structural limitation as claimed, accordingly it is considered to meet the claimed functional recitation.

Regarding claim 5, Yasuda discloses the inclusion of mercury within the arc tube, but fails to exemplify the amount in mg included, particularly within the range of 2 to 5 mg inclusive. One skilled in the art would reasonable contemplate optimization of the mercury amount within the arc tube as a matter of design engineering since such estimation for the optimum lamp operation is considered within the level of skills in the art. Furthermore, applicants claimed 2 to 5 mg range does not solve any of the stated problems or yield any unexpected result that is not

Art Unit: 2879

within the scope of the teaching applied. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Yasuda by incorporating mercury in a range of 2 to 5 mg inclusive since such modification is considered and obvious matter of design engineering.

Regarding claim 12, Yasuda discloses a fluorescent lamp wherein the holder is formed of plastic.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-4 and 6-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claim 3, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 3, and specifically comprising the limitation of mercury is singly enclosed in the glass tube, and an inner diameter of the glass tube is in a range of 5 to 9 mm inclusive.

Regarding claim 3, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 3, and specifically comprising the limitation of the arc tube is thermally connected to the globe via a heat conductive medium, at a coolest position of the arc tube during lighting, or a position in a vicinity of the coolest position. Claim 10, dependent from claim 3, is allowable for the same reasoning.

Regarding claim 4, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 4, and specifically comprising the limitation of the arc tube has a double-spiral construction in which the glass tube is wound from a middle to

both ends thereof around one axis. Claims 6 and 9, dependent from claim 4, are allowable for the same reasoning.

Regarding claim 7, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 7, and specifically comprising the limitation of a winding pitch of the glass tube is changed to enlarge at such a position back from each end by 60 to 120° inclusive with respect to the axis, as viewed in the direction of the axis.

Regarding claim 8, the references of the Prior Art of record fails to teach or suggest the combination of the limitations as set forth in claim 8, and specifically comprising the limitation of a gap between the other adjacent spiral parts is in a range of 1 to 3 mm inclusive.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 5, 11 and 12 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mariceli Santiago whose telephone number is (571) 272-2464. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel, can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

Application/Control Number: 10/786,519

Page 6

Art Unit: 2879

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mariceli Santiago Primary Examiner Art Unit 2879